

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/693,682	10/23/2003	Gregory B. Altshuler	105090-0140	8658
21125	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER	
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			GIBSON, ROY DEAN	
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A 02210-2604		3739	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/693,682	ALTSHULER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roy D. Gibson	3739			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Extensions after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amendment filed 11/14/2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Application 9) □ 1 10) □ 1	Claim(s) 1-19,21-23,28,29 and 44-53 is/are per la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11,15,17-19,21,44 and 46-53 is/are Claim(s) 12-14,16,22,23,28,29 and 45 is/are of Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath of	wn from consideration. rejected. bjected to. r election requirement. r. epted or b) \(\subseteq \) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Interview Summary

On January 6, the examiner conducted an interview with Thomas Engellenner regarding claim 44 and the application of P/N 5,944,748 issued to Mager et al. which anticipates this claim. Mr. Engellenner agreed and authorized the cancellation of this claim. Please confirm this in the reply to this Office action. Claims 1-19, 21-23, 28-29 and 44-53 are currently pending.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 21 and 46-53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sancoff et al. (5,078,683).

Sancoff et al. et al. disclose a container (user-replaceable IV bag # 22) comprising a housing defining at least one compartment therein;

a consumable substance contained in the compartment;

the housing and the compartment being capable of coupling to a phototreatment device via an outlet conduit; and

an indicator (optical indicia in the form of a bar code, # 112) capable of being detected by a bar code reader (optical detector, # 110) so as to determine an aspect of

the substance (type of drug, concentration, dosage or volume, etc. and col. 5, lines 58-65). Further to claims 51-53, in communication between the container and a device the fluid would inherently provide heat transfer therebetween.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sancoff et al. The examiner maintains that water (a phase change material) could be used as the substance and melting ice could be dispensed as required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-14, 16, 22-23, 28-29 and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Walker et al. (5,651,775) disclose a medication delivery and

Application/Control Number: 10/693,682 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

monitoring system; and Lampotang et al. (5,772,443) disclose an apparatus and method for detecting and identifying a drug.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy D. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

January 20, 2006